



## CITY OF MILPITAS

Revision	Date
Original	05/18/2021

### CITY COUNCIL POLICY

<b>Policy No:</b> 1-4	<b>VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMT) TO IMPLEMENT SB 743</b>	<b>Effective Date:</b> 5/18/2021
<b>Revision No:</b> N/A	<b>Policy Administrator:</b> Planning	<b>Next Review Due:</b> As Needed
<b>Related Policies and Procedures:</b> N/A	<b>Approved by:</b> City Council via Resolution No. 9070, 5/18/2021, Item # 14, and 5-0 vote	<b>Date Approved:</b> 5/18/2021

#### 1. PURPOSE

- 1.1. The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires all California Cities to evaluate and disclose potential transportation environmental impacts of any proposed "Project." This policy establishes Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) as the methodology for analyzing transportation environmental impacts and establishes baseline, threshold, and exemption criteria for environmental review to comply with State law. This policy also establishes the requirement of a transportation operation analysis to address transportation deficiencies and to conform with the Santa Clara County Congestion Management Program (CMP).

#### 2. POLICY

- 2.1. This policy requires all projects to evaluate and disclose transportation environmental impacts by measuring Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) per CEQA and establishes intersection Level of Service (LOS) as an operational measure of intersection efficiency, which is not defined as a transportation environmental impact per CEQA.
- 2.2. Consistency with Milpitas City Policy:
- 2.2.1. The Milpitas General Plan recognizes how land development and transportation goals affect VMT and embraces the ideals that result in reduced VMT. The General Plan focuses new development in key areas, bringing together office, residential and service land uses to reduce VMT and encourage the use of non-automobile transportation modes. The Circulation Element, Land Use Element, and Conservation and Sustainability Element all provide goals, policies, and actions that support VMT reduction including Policy CIR 1-8, Action CIR-1c, Goal CIR-2, Goal CIR-5, Goal CIR-6, Policy CIR 6-2, Policy CIR 6-3, Policy LU 1-1, Policy CON 7-1, Policy CON 7-10, and many others. However, the following General Plan goals and policies most directly support this new policy:
- 2.2.1.1 **Goal CIR-1:** Provide a transportation system that efficiently, equitably, and effectively supports the City's land use vision, minimizes vehicle miles traveled (VMT), enhances connectivity of the existing network, and supports the use of all modes of transportation.
- 2.2.1.2 **Policy LU 3-1:** Support regional efforts that promote higher densities near major transit and travel facilities and reduce regional vehicle miles traveled by supporting active modes of transportation including walking, biking, and public transit. Support local and regional land use decisions that promote safe access to and the use of alternatives to auto transit.



Revision	Date
Original	05/18/2021

**VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMT) TO IMPLEMENT SB 743 / POLICY # 1-4**

- 2.2.1.3 **Policy LU 4-2:** Emphasize efforts to reduce regional vehicle miles traveled by supporting land use patterns and site designs that promote active modes of transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit.
- 2.3. **Evaluating Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT):** The policy formally establishes the Countywide Average VMT<sup>1</sup> as the environmental baseline for land development projects. To evaluate whether a project will have a significant impact under CEQA, the City will compare the project's VMT with this baseline. For residential and office and industrial projects, a Project will have a less than significant impact if the Project results in a 15%VMT reduction compared to the baseline. For industrial projects, the 15% threshold applies to the employee commute trip only.
- 2.4. **Evaluating Level of Service (LOS):** The City will continue to measure intersection and roadway operations to comply with the standards set by the City's General Plan and the Congestion Management Program to ensure intersection and roadway efficiency.
- 2.5. **Applicability:** All proposed projects are required to undergo environmental review as part of the City's approval process. This includes an analysis of CEQA impacts (VMT) and non CEQA operational analysis (LOS).

In addition to establishing the baseline and significant impact threshold, this policy establishes certain projects that are presumed to have a less than significant impact per the State's guidance and will not require a VMT analysis. The following is the list of projects that do not require VMT analysis.

**3. PROCEDURE(S)**

- 3.1. **Project Screening Criteria:** The following screening criteria is recommended in alignment with Office of Planning and Research, Technical Advisory<sup>2</sup>. Projects shall be presumed to have a less-than-significant transportation impact if they meet any of the following screening criteria:
- 3.1.1. **Small Projects:** All projects that generate 110 daily trips or less.
  - 3.1.2. **Retail Projects:** 100,00 square feet or less (local serving<sup>3</sup>)
  - 3.1.3. **Local Serving City facilities:** fire stations, neighborhood parks, branch libraries, community centers
  - 3.1.4. **Restricted Affordable Housing Projects** that meet the following:
    - 3.1.4.1 For 100% Affordable Developments:
      - 3.1.4.1.1. Rental developments with all units at or below 80% Area Median Income.
      - 3.1.4.1.2. Ownership with all units at or below 100% Area Median Income.
    - 3.1.4.2 For Mixed-Income Rental Developments: A 10% minimum of the total residential units must be affordable to households with income at or below 80% Area

<sup>1</sup> Countywide Average VMT for residential land uses and employment land uses in Santa Clara County obtained from the VTA Countywide Model December 2019.

<sup>2</sup> Office of Planning and Research, Technical Advisory on Evaluating Transportation Impacts in CEQA, (December 2018)

<sup>3</sup> Land uses within a community that have neighborhood context, accessible by walking, bicyclist, transit, or vehicle.



## CITY OF MILPITAS

Revision	Date
Original	05/18/2021

### VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMT) TO IMPLEMENT SB 743 / POLICY # 1-4

Median Income. An additional 10% minimum of the total residential units must be affordable to households with income at or below 50% Area Median Income.

- 3.1.4.3 For Mixed-Income Ownership Developments: A 10% minimum of the total residential units must be affordable to households with income at or below 100% Area Median Income. An additional 10% minimum of the total residential units must be affordable to households with income at or below 80% Area Median.

- 3.1.4.4 For All Residential Development:

3.1.4.4.1. If the requirements for the City's Affordable Housing Ordinance (Title XII, Chapter 1 of the City's Municipal Code) or from State Density Bonus law differ from this policy, the project sponsor shall provide the larger number of affordable units and the lowest level of affordability that is required by any of these policies;

3.1.4.4.2. To qualify for this exemption, a project and all of its income-restricted units shall be subject to all requirements and provisions in the City's Affordable Housing Ordinance<sup>4</sup>.

- 3.1.5. **Transit Screening:** All land-use projects located within one half mile of a major transit stop<sup>5</sup>, or a stop along a high-quality transit corridor<sup>6</sup>, pursuant to State definitions for such facilities; provided the projects include all the following characteristics: minimum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) of 0.75; or minimum residential density of 35 dwelling units/acre except in the Milpitas Metro Specific Plan area which requires a minimum residential density of 50 dwelling units/acre, and the Sierra Center which requires a minimum residential density of 40 dwelling units per acre<sup>7</sup>.

3.1.5.1 Consistent with the applicable Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS);

3.1.5.2 Does not provide more parking than required by the jurisdiction; or

3.1.5.3 Does not replace affordable housing with a fewer number of moderate or high-income residential units.

- 3.1.6. **Transportation Projects** that reduce or do not increase VMT including, but not limited to:

3.1.6.1 Roadway maintenance, rehabilitation, repair, and safety improvements designed to improve the conditions of existing transportation facilities that do not add additional motor vehicle capacity;

3.1.6.2 Roadway safety devices or hardware installation such as median barriers or guardrails;

<sup>4</sup> The City's Affordable Housing Ordinance offers additional incentives to projects that side aside 20% or more of units as affordable housing.

<sup>5</sup> "Major transit stop" means a site containing any of the following: (a) an existing rail or bus rapid transit stations; (b) the intersection of two or more major bus routes with a frequency of service interval of 15 minutes or less during the morning and afternoon peak commute periods; or (c) a major transit stop that is included in Plan Bay Area 2040. Pub. Res. Code 21064.3, 21155(b).

<sup>6</sup> "High Quality Transit Corridor" means a corridor with fixed route bus service with service intervals no longer than 15 minutes during peak commute hours. Pub. Res. Code 21155(b)

<sup>7</sup> See Attachment A Milpitas Heat Maps for Serra Center boundary.



## CITY OF MILPITAS

Revision	Date
Original	05/18/2021

### VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMT) TO IMPLEMENT SB 743 / POLICY # 1-4

- 3.1.6.3 Roadway shoulder enhancements to provide “breakdown space”, to improve safety but will not be used as automobile vehicle travel lanes;
  - 3.1.6.4 Addition of auxiliary lane less than one mile designed to improve safety;
  - 3.1.6.5 Installation or reconfigured traffic lanes that are not for through traffic, such as left, right, and U-turn pockets, two-way left-turn lanes, or emergency breakdown lanes that are not utilized as through lanes;
  - 3.1.6.6 Addition of roadway capacity on local or collector streets provided the project also substantially improves conditions for pedestrians, cyclists, and, if applicable, transit;
  - 3.1.6.7 Conversion of existing lanes to managed or transit lanes;
  - 3.1.6.8 Reduction in number of thorough lanes;
    - Multimodal improvements that promote walking, bicycling and transit;
  - 3.1.6.9 Technology projects that optimize intersection operations, and traffic metering systems, detection, cameras, and other electronics designed to optimize traffic flow;
  - 3.1.6.10 Installation of traffic control devices and roundabouts;
  - 3.1.6.11 Relocation or removal of parking; and
  - 3.1.6.12 Installation of publicly available alternative fuel / charging infrastructure.
- 3.2. Projects that do not meet the above requirements are required to evaluate and disclose potential VMT environmental impacts using the established baseline and threshold criteria. The most commonly available methods for evaluating VMT is the Santa Clara County VMT Evaluation Tool and the Travel Demand Model. Typical VMT mitigation can include multimodal transportation improvements designed to improve pedestrian, bicycle, transit facilities, parking strategies, and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) strategies that provide subsidized transit passes, carpool and shuttle programs, telecommuting, etc.
- 3.3. **Transportation Projects:** Project types that would likely lead to a measurable increase in vehicle travel such as addition of through lanes on existing or new highways, including general purpose lanes, HOV lanes, peak period lanes, auxiliary lanes or lanes through grade separated interchanges. Transportation projects that add vehicle capacity to the roadway network will be required to analyze:
  - 3.3.1. Direct, indirect, and cumulative effects of the transportation project.
  - 3.3.2. Near-term and long-term induced vehicle traffic in total VMT.
  - 3.3.3. Consistency with state and local greenhouse gas reduction goals.
  - 3.3.4. Impacts on the development of multimodal transportation networks.
  - 3.3.5. Impacts on the development of diversity of land uses.
- 3.4. **Regional Land Use Projects:** For projects such as regional retail, hospitals, stadium, sports complexes, or schools not regulated by a public-school district or that require permits from local jurisdiction, a net increase in total VMT may indicate a significant transportation impact.



## CITY OF MILPITAS


Revision	Date
Original	05/18/2021

### VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMT) TO IMPLEMENT SB 743 / POLICY # 1-4


- 3.5. **Transportation Operational Analysis Requirement:** In addition to conforming to the VMT CEQA requirements above, land use and transportation projects may be required to conduct a Transportation Operational Analysis (TOA) to evaluate a project's adverse effects or identify operational deficiencies caused or exacerbated by a project which may include but not limited to the following:
- 3.5.1. Intersection Level of Service Analysis
  - 3.5.2. CMP Conformance
    - 3.5.2.1 Intersection LOS at CMP intersections
    - 3.5.2.2 Freeway Operations
    - 3.5.2.3 Queuing Analysis at freeway ramps
  - 3.5.3. Multimodal analysis of pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities
  - 3.5.4. Traffic signal warrant studies and other intersection traffic control
  - 3.5.5. Site Access Circulation
  - 3.5.6. Neighborhood cut-through, traffic calming, parking issues
  - 3.5.7. Other transportation- related analysis as deemed necessary by the "City Engineer"
- 3.6. **Existing Approvals:** Projects that are currently approved will not require any supplemental VMT environmental review unless the "Project" requires supplemental environmental review not covered by an addendum.
- 3.7. **Outcomes:** Implementation of this policy will result in reducing VMT growth, promoting the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, expansion of the multimodal transportation network, increased density and diversity of land uses and ultimately, meeting the State's long-term climate goals. This policy supports and aligns with the General Plan land use and transportation goals, facilitates implementation of the General Plan, and supports a more sustainable City as outlined in the Climate Action Plan.



## Attachment A: City of Milpitas Countywide Employment Average VMT



## Attachment A: City of Milpitas Countywide Residential Average VMT







Home

Bill Information

California Law

Publications

Other Resources

My Subscriptions

My Favorites

**SB-743 Environmental quality: transit oriented infill projects, judicial review streamlining for environmental leadership development projects, and entertainment and sports center in the City of Sacramento. (2013-2014)**

SHARE THIS:



## Senate Bill No. 743

### CHAPTER 386

An act to amend Sections 65088.1 and 65088.4 of the Government Code, and to amend Sections 21181, 21183, 21186, 21187, 21189.1, and 21189.3 of, to add Section 21155.4 to, to add Chapter 2.7 (commencing with Section 21099) to Division 13 of, to add and repeal Section 21168.6.6 of, and to repeal and add Section 21185 of, the Public Resources Code, relating to environmental quality.

[ Approved by Governor September 27, 2013. Filed with Secretary of State September 27, 2013. ]

### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 743, Steinberg. Environmental quality: transit oriented infill projects, judicial review streamlining for environmental leadership development projects, and entertainment and sports center in the City of Sacramento.

(1) The Jobs and Economic Improvement Through Environmental Leadership Act of 2011 requires a party bringing an action or proceeding alleging that a lead agency's approval of a project certified by the Governor as an environmental leadership development project is in violation of the California Environmental Quality Act to file the action or proceeding with the Court of Appeal with geographic jurisdiction over the project and requires the Court of Appeal to issue its decision within 175 days of the filing of the petition. The Jobs and Economic Improvement Through Environmental Leadership Act of 2011 requires the lead agency to concurrently prepare the record of proceeding for the leadership project with the review and consideration of the project. The Jobs and Economic Improvement Through Environmental Leadership Act of 2011 provides that the above provision does not apply to a project for which a lead agency fails to certify an environmental impact report on or before June 1, 2014. The Jobs and Economic Improvement Through Environmental Leadership Act of 2011 is repealed by its own terms on January 1, 2015.

This bill would instead require the Judicial Council, on or before July 1, 2014, to adopt a rule of court to establish procedures applicable to actions or proceedings seeking judicial review of a public agency's action in certifying the environmental impact report and in granting project approval that requires the actions or proceedings, including any appeals therefrom, be resolved, within 270 days of the certification of the record of proceedings. The bill would extend the operation of the judicial review procedures unless the lead agency fails to certify an environmental impact report for an environmental leadership project on or before January 1, 2016. The bill would provide that the above provisions do not apply to a project if the Governor does not certify the project as an environmental leadership development project prior to January 1, 2016. Because this bill would extend the time period for which a lead agency would be required to concurrently prepare the record of proceeding with the review and consideration of the environmental leadership development projects, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would require the lead agency, within 10 days of the Governor's certification, to issue, at the applicant's expense, a specified public notice, thereby imposing a state-mandated local program.



The bill would repeal the Jobs and Economic Improvement Through Environmental Leadership Act of 2011 on January 1, 2017.

(2) The California Environmental Quality Act, commonly known as CEQA, requires a lead agency, as defined, to prepare, or cause to be prepared, and certify the completion of, an environmental impact report on a project that it proposes to carry out or approve that may have a significant effect on the environment or to adopt a negative declaration if it finds that the project will not have that effect. CEQA also requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA establishes a procedure by which a person may seek judicial review of the decision of the lead agency made pursuant to CEQA.

This bill would provide that aesthetic and parking impacts of a residential, mixed-use residential, or employment center project, as defined, on an infill site, as defined, within a transit priority area, as defined, shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment. The bill would require the Office of Planning and Research to prepare and submit to the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency, and the secretary to certify and adopt, revisions to the guidelines for the implementation of CEQA establishing criteria for determining the significance of transportation impacts of projects within transit priority areas.

This bill would, except for specified circumstances, exempt from CEQA residential, employment center, and mixed-use development projects meeting specified criteria. Because a lead agency would be required to determine the applicability of this exemption, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

This bill would require the public agency, in certifying the environmental impact report and in granting approvals for a specified entertainment and sports center project located in the City of Sacramento, including the concurrent preparation of the record of proceedings and the certification of the record of proceeding within 5 days of the filing of a specified notice, to comply with specified procedures. Because a public agency would be required to comply with those new procedures, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program. The bill would require the Judicial Council, on or before July 1, 2014, to adopt a rule of court to establish procedures applicable to actions or proceedings seeking judicial review of a public agency's action in certifying the environmental impact report and in granting project approval that requires the actions or proceedings, including any appeals therefrom, be resolved, to the extent feasible, within 270 days of the certification of the record of proceedings. The bill would provide that the above provisions are inoperative and repealed on January 1 of the following year if the applicant fails to notify the lead agency before the release of the draft environmental impact report for public comment that the applicant is electing to proceed pursuant to the above provisions.

(3) Existing law requires the development, adoption, and updating of a congestion management program for each county that includes an urbanized area, as defined. The plan is required to contain specified elements and to be submitted to regional agencies, as defined, for determination of whether the program is consistent with regional transportation plans. The regional agency is then directed to monitor the implementation of all elements of each congestion management program. The required elements include traffic level of service standards for a system of designated highways and roadways. Existing law defines "infill opportunity zone" for purposes of the above-described provisions and exempts streets and highways in an infill opportunity zone from the level of service standards specified in the above-described provisions and instead requires alternate level of service standards to be applied. Existing law prohibits a city or county from designating an infill opportunity zone after December 31, 2009.

This bill would revise the definition of "infill opportunity zone," as specified. The bill would authorize the designation of an infill opportunity zone that is a transit priority area within a sustainable communities strategy or alternative planning strategy adopted by an applicable metropolitan planning organization.

(4) Existing law terminates the designation of an infill opportunity zone if no development project is completed within that zone within 4 years from the date of the designation.

This bill would repeal this provision.

This bill would make findings and declarations as to the necessity of a special statute for the City of Sacramento.

(5) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority Appropriation: no Fiscal Committee: yes Local Program: yes

## THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

### **SECTION 1.** (a) The Legislature finds and declares the following:

(1) With the adoption of Chapter 728 of the Statutes of 2008, popularly known as the Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act of 2008, the Legislature signaled its commitment to encouraging land use and transportation planning decisions and investments that reduce vehicle miles traveled and contribute to the reductions in greenhouse gas emissions required in the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (Division 25.5 (commencing with Section 38500) of the Health and Safety Code). Similarly, the California Complete Streets Act of 2008 (Chapter 657 of the Statutes of 2008) requires local governments to plan for a balanced, multimodal transportation network that meets the needs of all users of streets, roads, and highways for safe and convenient travel.

(2) Transportation analyses under the California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code) typically study changes in automobile delay. New methodologies under the California Environmental Quality Act are needed for evaluating transportation impacts that are better able to promote the state's goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and traffic-related air pollution, promoting the development of a multimodal transportation system, and providing clean, efficient access to destinations.

(b) It is the intent of the Legislature to do both of the following:

(1) Ensure that the environmental impacts of traffic, such as noise, air pollution, and safety concerns, continue to be properly addressed and mitigated through the California Environmental Quality Act.

(2) More appropriately balance the needs of congestion management with statewide goals related to infill development, promotion of public health through active transportation, and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

### **SEC. 2.** The Legislature further finds and declares all of the following:

(a) The Federal Reserve has stated that "[m]ost policymakers estimate the longer-run normal rate of unemployment is between 5.2 and 6 percent." At 7.6 percent, the current United States unemployment rate remains markedly higher than the normal rate and both the unemployment rates in Sacramento County and California are higher than the current national unemployment rate.

(b) The California Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 (commencing with Section 21000) of the Public Resources Code) requires that the environmental impacts of development projects be identified and mitigated. The act also guarantees the public an opportunity to review and comment on the environmental impacts of a project and to participate meaningfully in the development of mitigation measures for potentially significant environmental impacts.

(c) The existing home of the City of Sacramento's National Basketball Association (NBA) team, the Sleep Train Arena, is an old and outmoded facility located outside of the City of Sacramento's downtown area and is not serviced by the region's existing heavy and light rail transportation networks. It was constructed 25 years ago and a new, more efficient entertainment and sports center located in downtown Sacramento is needed to meet the city's and region's needs.

(d) The City of Sacramento and the region would greatly benefit from the addition of a multipurpose event center capable of hosting a wide range of events including exhibitions, conventions, sporting events, as well as musical, artistic, and cultural events in downtown Sacramento.

(e) The proposed entertainment and sports center project is a public-private partnership between the City of Sacramento and the applicant that will result in the construction of a new state-of-the-art multipurpose event center, and surrounding infill development in downtown Sacramento as described in the notice of preparation released by the City of Sacramento on April 12, 2013.

(f) The project will generate over 4,000 full-time jobs including employees hired both during construction and operation of the entertainment and sports center project. This employment estimate does not include the substantial job generation that will occur with the surrounding development uses, which will generate additional hospitality, office, restaurant, and retail jobs in Sacramento's downtown area.

(g) The project also presents an unprecedented opportunity to implement innovative measures that will significantly reduce traffic and air quality impacts and mitigate the greenhouse gas emissions resulting from the project. The project site is located in downtown Sacramento near heavy and light rail transit facilities, situated to maximize opportunities to encourage nonautomobile modes of travel to the entertainment and sports center project, and is consistent with the policies and regional vision included in the Sustainable Communities Strategy adopted pursuant to Chapter 728 of the Statutes of 2008 by the Sacramento Area Council of Governments in April of 2012. The project is also located within close proximity to three major infill development areas including projects (The Bridge District, Railyards, and Township Nine) that received infill infrastructure grants from the state pursuant to Proposition 1C.

(h) It is in the interest of the state to expedite judicial review of the entertainment and sports center project, as appropriate, while protecting the environment and the right of the public to review, comment on, and, if necessary, seek judicial review of, the adequacy of the environmental impact report for the project.

**SEC. 3.** Section 65088.1 of the Government Code is amended to read:

**65088.1.** As used in this chapter the following terms have the following meanings:

(a) Unless the context requires otherwise, "agency" means the agency responsible for the preparation and adoption of the congestion management program.

(b) "Bus rapid transit corridor" means a bus service that includes at least four of the following attributes:

- (1) Coordination with land use planning.
- (2) Exclusive right-of-way.
- (3) Improved passenger boarding facilities.
- (4) Limited stops.
- (5) Passenger boarding at the same height as the bus.
- (6) Prepaid fares.
- (7) Real-time passenger information.
- (8) Traffic priority at intersections.
- (9) Signal priority.
- (10) Unique vehicles.

(c) "Commission" means the California Transportation Commission.

(d) "Department" means the Department of Transportation.

(e) "Infill opportunity zone" means a specific area designated by a city or county, pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 65088.4, that is within one-half mile of a major transit stop or high-quality transit corridor included in a regional transportation plan. A major transit stop is as defined in Section 21064.3 of the Public Resources Code, except that, for purposes of this section, it also includes major transit stops that are included in the applicable regional transportation plan. For purposes of this section, a high-quality transit corridor means a corridor with fixed route bus service with service intervals no longer than 15 minutes during peak commute hours.

(f) "Interregional travel" means any trips that originate outside the boundary of the agency. A "trip" means a one-direction vehicle movement. The origin of any trip is the starting point of that trip. A roundtrip consists of two individual trips.

(g) "Level of service standard" is a threshold that defines a deficiency on the congestion management program highway and roadway system which requires the preparation of a deficiency plan. It is the intent of the Legislature that the agency shall use all elements of the program to implement strategies and actions that avoid the creation of deficiencies and to improve multimodal mobility.

(h) "Local jurisdiction" means a city, a county, or a city and county.

(i) "Multimodal" means the utilization of all available modes of travel that enhance the movement of people and goods, including, but not limited to, highway, transit, nonmotorized, and demand management strategies including, but not limited to, telecommuting. The availability and practicality of specific multimodal systems, projects, and strategies may vary by county and region in accordance with the size and complexity of different urbanized areas.

(j) (1) "Parking cash-out program" means an employer-funded program under which an employer offers to provide a cash allowance to an employee equivalent to the parking subsidy that the employer would otherwise pay to provide the employee with a parking space. "Parking subsidy" means the difference between the out-of-pocket amount paid by an employer on a regular basis in order to secure the availability of an employee parking space not owned by the employer and the price, if any, charged to an employee for use of that space.

(2) A parking cash-out program may include a requirement that employee participants certify that they will comply with guidelines established by the employer designed to avoid neighborhood parking problems, with a provision that employees not complying with the guidelines will no longer be eligible for the parking cash-out program.

(k) "Performance measure" is an analytical planning tool that is used to quantitatively evaluate transportation improvements and to assist in determining effective implementation actions, considering all modes and strategies. Use of a performance measure as part of the program does not trigger the requirement for the preparation of deficiency plans.

(l) "Urbanized area" has the same meaning as is defined in the 1990 federal census for urbanized areas of more than 50,000 population.

(m) Unless the context requires otherwise, "regional agency" means the agency responsible for preparation of the regional transportation improvement program.

**SEC. 4.** Section 65088.4 of the Government Code is amended to read:

**65088.4.** (a) It is the intent of the Legislature to balance the need for level of service standards for traffic with the need to build infill housing and mixed use commercial developments within walking distance of mass transit facilities, downtowns, and town centers and to provide greater flexibility to local governments to balance these sometimes competing needs.

(b) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, level of service standards described in Section 65089 shall not apply to the streets and highways within an infill opportunity zone.

(c) The city or county may designate an infill opportunity zone by adopting a resolution after determining that the infill opportunity zone is consistent with the general plan and any applicable specific plan, and is a transit priority area within a sustainable communities strategy or alternative planning strategy adopted by the applicable metropolitan planning organization.

**SEC. 5.** Chapter 2.7 (commencing with Section 21099) is added to Division 13 of the Public Resources Code, to read:

**CHAPTER 2.7. Modernization of Transportation Analysis for Transit-Oriented Infill Projects**

**21099.** (a) For purposes of this section, the following terms mean the following:

(1) "Employment center project" means a project located on property zoned for commercial uses with a floor area ratio of no less than 0.75 and that is located within a transit priority area.

(2) "Floor area ratio" means the ratio of gross building area of the development, excluding structured parking areas, proposed for the project divided by the net lot area.

(3) "Gross building area" means the sum of all finished areas of all floors of a building included within the outside faces of its exterior walls.

(4) "Infill site" means a lot located within an urban area that has been previously developed, or on a vacant site where at least 75 percent of the perimeter of the site adjoins, or is separated only by an improved public right-of-way from, parcels that are developed with qualified urban uses.

(5) "Lot" means all parcels utilized by the project.



(6) "Net lot area" means the area of a lot, excluding publicly dedicated land and private streets that meet local standards, and other public use areas as determined by the local land use authority.

(7) "Transit priority area" means an area within one-half mile of a major transit stop that is existing or planned, if the planned stop is scheduled to be completed within the planning horizon included in a Transportation Improvement Program adopted pursuant to Section 450.216 or 450.322 of Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

(b) (1) The Office of Planning and Research shall prepare, develop, and transmit to the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency for certification and adoption proposed revisions to the guidelines adopted pursuant to Section 21083 establishing criteria for determining the significance of transportation impacts of projects within transit priority areas. Those criteria shall promote the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, the development of multimodal transportation networks, and a diversity of land uses. In developing the criteria, the office shall recommend potential metrics to measure transportation impacts that may include, but are not limited to, vehicle miles traveled, vehicle miles traveled per capita, automobile trip generation rates, or automobile trips generated. The office may also establish criteria for models used to analyze transportation impacts to ensure the models are accurate, reliable, and consistent with the intent of this section.

(2) Upon certification of the guidelines by the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency pursuant to this section, automobile delay, as described solely by level of service or similar measures of vehicular capacity or traffic congestion shall not be considered a significant impact on the environment pursuant to this division, except in locations specifically identified in the guidelines, if any.

(3) This subdivision does not relieve a public agency of the requirement to analyze a project's potentially significant transportation impacts related to air quality, noise, safety, or any other impact associated with transportation. The methodology established by these guidelines shall not create a presumption that a project will not result in significant impacts related to air quality, noise, safety, or any other impact associated with transportation. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the adequacy of parking for a project shall not support a finding of significance pursuant to this section.

(4) This subdivision does not preclude the application of local general plan policies, zoning codes, conditions of approval, thresholds, or any other planning requirements pursuant to the police power or any other authority.

(5) On or before July 1, 2014, the Office of Planning and Research shall circulate a draft revision prepared pursuant to paragraph (1).

(c) (1) The Office of Planning and Research may adopt guidelines pursuant to Section 21083 establishing alternative metrics to the metrics used for traffic levels of service for transportation impacts outside transit priority areas. The alternative metrics may include the retention of traffic levels of service, where appropriate and as determined by the office.

(2) This subdivision shall not affect the standard of review that would apply to the new guidelines adopted pursuant to this section.

(d) (1) Aesthetic and parking impacts of a residential, mixed-use residential, or employment center project on an infill site within a transit priority area shall not be considered significant impacts on the environment.

(2) (A) This subdivision does not affect, change, or modify the authority of a lead agency to consider aesthetic impacts pursuant to local design review ordinances or other discretionary powers provided by other laws or policies.

(B) For the purposes of this subdivision, aesthetic impacts do not include impacts on historical or cultural resources.

(e) This section does not affect the authority of a public agency to establish or adopt thresholds of significance that are more protective of the environment.

**SEC. 6.** Section 21155.4 is added to the Public Resources Code, to read:

**21155.4.** (a) Except as provided in subdivision (b), a residential, employment center, as defined in paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 21099, or mixed-use development project, including any subdivision, or any zoning, change that meets all of the following criteria is exempt from the requirements of this division:

(1) The project is proposed within a transit priority area, as defined in subdivision (a) of Section 21099.

(2) The project is undertaken to implement and is consistent with a specific plan for which an environmental impact report has been certified.

(3) The project is consistent with the general use designation, density, building intensity, and applicable policies specified for the project area in either a sustainable communities strategy or an alternative planning strategy for which the State Air Resources Board, pursuant to subparagraph (H) of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 65080 of the Government Code, has accepted a metropolitan planning organization's determination that the sustainable communities strategy or the alternative planning strategy would, if implemented, achieve the greenhouse gas emissions reduction targets.

(b) Further environmental review shall be conducted only if any of the events specified in Section 21166 have occurred.

**SEC. 7.** Section 21168.6.6 is added to the Public Resources Code, to read:

**21168.6.6.** (a) For the purposes of this section, the following definitions shall have the following meanings:

(1) "Applicant" means a private entity or its affiliates that proposes the project and its successors, heirs, and assignees.

(2) "City" means the City of Sacramento.

(3) "Downtown arena" means the following components of the entertainment and sports center project from demolition and site preparation through operation:

(A) An arena facility that will become the new home to the City of Sacramento's National Basketball Association (NBA) team that does both of the following:

(i) Receives Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) gold certification for new construction within one year of completion of the first NBA season.

(ii) Minimizes operational traffic congestion and air quality impacts through either or both project design and the implementation of feasible mitigation measures that will do all of the following:

(I) Achieve and maintain carbon neutrality or better by reducing to at least zero the net emissions of greenhouse gases, as defined in subdivision (g) of Section 38505 of the Health and Safety Code, from private automobile trips to the downtown arena as compared to the baseline as verified by the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District.

(II) Achieve a per attendee reduction in greenhouse gas emissions from automobiles and light trucks compared to per attendee greenhouse gas emissions associated with the existing arena during the 2012-13 NBA season that will exceed the carbon reduction targets for 2020 and 2035 achieved in the sustainable communities strategy prepared by the Sacramento Area Council of Governments for the Sacramento region pursuant to Chapter 728 of the Statutes of 2008.

(III) Achieve and maintain vehicle-miles-traveled per attendee for NBA events at the downtown arena that is no more than 85 percent of the baseline.

(B) Associated public spaces.

(C) Facilities and infrastructure for ingress, egress, and use of the arena facility.

(4) "Entertainment and sports center project" or "project" means a project that substantially conforms to the project description for the entertainment and sports center project set forth in the notice of preparation released by the City of Sacramento on April 12, 2013.

(b) (1) The city may prosecute an eminent domain action for 545 and 600 K Street, Sacramento, California, and surrounding publicly accessible areas and rights-of-way within 200 feet of 600 K Street, Sacramento, California, through order of possession pursuant to the Eminent Domain Law (Title 7 (commencing with Section 1230.010) of Part 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure) prior to completing the environmental review under this division.

(2) Paragraph (1) shall not apply to any other eminent domain actions prosecuted by the City of Sacramento or to eminent domain actions based on a finding of blight.

(c) Notwithstanding any other law, the procedures established pursuant to subdivision (d) shall apply to an action or proceeding brought to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul the certification of the environmental impact report for the project or the granting of any project approvals.

(d) On or before July 1, 2014, the Judicial Council shall adopt a rule of court to establish procedures applicable to actions or proceedings brought to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul the certification of the environmental impact report for the project or the granting of any project approvals that require the actions or proceedings, including any potential appeals therefrom, be resolved, to the extent feasible, within 270 days of certification of the record of proceedings pursuant to subdivision (f).

(e) (1) The draft and final environmental impact report shall include a notice in not less than 12-point type stating the following:

THIS EIR IS SUBJECT TO SECTION 21168.6.6 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE, WHICH PROVIDES, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THAT THE LEAD AGENCY NEED NOT CONSIDER CERTAIN COMMENTS FILED AFTER THE CLOSE OF THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD FOR THE DRAFT EIR. ANY JUDICIAL ACTION CHALLENGING THE CERTIFICATION OF THE EIR OR THE APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT DESCRIBED IN THE EIR IS SUBJECT TO THE PROCEDURES SET FORTH IN SECTION 21168.6.6 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE. A COPY OF SECTION 21168.6.6 OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE IS INCLUDED IN THE APPENDIX TO THIS EIR.

(2) The draft environmental impact report and final environmental impact report shall contain, as an appendix, the full text of this section.

(3) Within 10 days after the release of the draft environmental impact report, the lead agency shall conduct an informational workshop to inform the public of the key analyses and conclusions of that report.

(4) Within 10 days before the close of the public comment period, the lead agency shall hold a public hearing to receive testimony on the draft environmental impact report. A transcript of the hearing shall be included as an appendix to the final environmental impact report.

(5) (A) Within five days following the close of the public comment period, a commenter on the draft environmental impact report may submit to the lead agency a written request for nonbinding mediation. The lead agency and applicant shall participate in nonbinding mediation with all commenters who submitted timely comments on the draft environmental impact report and who requested the mediation. Mediation conducted pursuant to this paragraph shall end no later than 35 days after the close of the public comment period.

(B) A request for mediation shall identify all areas of dispute raised in the comment submitted by the commenter that are to be mediated.

(C) The lead agency shall select one or more mediators who shall be retired judges or recognized experts with at least five years experience in land use and environmental law or science, or mediation. The applicant shall bear the costs of mediation.

(D) A mediation session shall be conducted on each area of dispute with the parties requesting mediation on that area of dispute.

(E) The lead agency shall adopt, as a condition of approval, any measures agreed upon by the lead agency, the applicant, and any commenter who requested mediation. A commenter who agrees to a measure pursuant to this subparagraph shall not raise the issue addressed by that measure as a basis for an action or proceeding challenging the lead agency's decision to certify the environmental impact report or to grant one or more initial project approvals.

(6) The lead agency need not consider written comments submitted after the close of the public comment period, unless those comments address any of the following:

(A) New issues raised in the response to comments by the lead agency.

(B) New information released by the public agency subsequent to the release of the draft environmental impact report, such as new information set forth or embodied in a staff report, proposed permit, proposed resolution, ordinance, or similar documents.

(C) Changes made to the project after the close of the public comment period.

(D) Proposed conditions for approval, mitigation measures, or proposed findings required by Section 21081 or a proposed reporting and monitoring program required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 21081.6, where the lead agency releases those documents subsequent to the release of the draft environmental impact report.

(E) New information that was not reasonably known and could not have been reasonably known during the public comment period.

(7) The lead agency shall file the notice required by subdivision (a) of Section 21152 within five days after the last initial project approval.

(f) (1) The lead agency shall prepare and certify the record of the proceedings in accordance with this subdivision and in accordance with Rule 3.1365 of the California Rules of Court. The applicant shall pay the lead agency for all costs of preparing and certifying the record of proceedings.

(2) No later than three business days following the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report, the lead agency shall make available to the public in a readily accessible electronic format the draft environmental impact report and all other documents submitted to or relied on by the lead agency in the preparation of the draft environmental impact report. A document prepared by the lead agency or submitted by the applicant after the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report that is a part of the record of the proceedings shall be made available to the public in a readily accessible electronic format within five business days after the document is prepared or received by the lead agency.

(3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2), documents submitted to or relied on by the lead agency that were not prepared specifically for the project and are copyright protected are not required to be made readily accessible in an electronic format. For those copyright protected documents, the lead agency shall make an index of these documents available in an electronic format no later than the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report, or within five business days if the document is received or relied on by the lead agency after the release of the draft environmental impact report. The index must specify the libraries or lead agency offices in which hardcopies of the copyrighted materials are available for public review.

(4) The lead agency shall encourage written comments on the project to be submitted in a readily accessible electronic format, and shall make any such comment available to the public in a readily accessible electronic format within five days of its receipt.

(5) Within seven business days after the receipt of any comment that is not in an electronic format, the lead agency shall convert that comment into a readily accessible electronic format and make it available to the public in that format.

(6) The lead agency shall indicate in the record of the proceedings comments received that were not considered by the lead agency pursuant to paragraph (6) of subdivision (e) and need not include the content of the comments as a part of the record.

(7) Within five days after the filing of the notice required by subdivision (a) of Section 21152, the lead agency shall certify the record of the proceedings for the approval or determination and shall provide an electronic copy of the record to a party that has submitted a written request for a copy. The lead agency may charge and collect a reasonable fee from a party requesting a copy of the record for the electronic copy, which shall not exceed the reasonable cost of reproducing that copy.

(8) Within 10 days after being served with a complaint or a petition for a writ of mandate, the lead agency shall lodge a copy of the certified record of proceedings with the superior court.

(9) Any dispute over the content of the record of the proceedings shall be resolved by the superior court. Unless the superior court directs otherwise, a party disputing the content of the record shall file a motion to augment the record at the time it files its initial brief.

(10) The contents of the record of proceedings shall be as set forth in subdivision (e) of Section 21167.6.

(g) (1) As a condition of approval of the project subject to this section, the lead agency shall require the applicant, with respect to any measures specific to the operation of the downtown arena, to implement those measures that will meet the requirements of this division by the end of the first NBA regular season or June of the first NBA regular season, whichever is later, during which an NBA team has played at the downtown arena.



(2) To maximize public health, environmental, and employment benefits, the lead agency shall place the highest priority on feasible measures that will reduce greenhouse gas emissions on the downtown arena site and in the neighboring communities of the downtown arena. Mitigation measures that shall be considered and implemented, if feasible and necessary, to achieve the standards set forth in subclauses (I) to (III), inclusive, of clause (ii) of subparagraph (A) of paragraph (3) of subdivision (a), including, but not limited to:

(A) Temporarily expanding the capacity of a public transit line, as needed, to serve downtown arena events.

(B) Providing private charter buses or other similar services, as needed, to serve downtown arena events.

(C) Paying its fair share of the cost of measures that expand the capacity of a public fixed or light rail station that is used by spectators attending downtown arena events.

(3) Offset credits shall be employed by the applicant only after feasible local emission reduction measures have been implemented. The applicant shall, to the extent feasible, place the highest priority on the purchase of offset credits that produce emission reductions within the city or the boundaries of the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District.

(h) (1) (A) In granting relief in an action or proceeding brought pursuant to this section, the court shall not stay or enjoin the construction or operation of the downtown arena unless the court finds either of the following:

(i) The continued construction or operation of the downtown arena presents an imminent threat to the public health and safety.

(ii) The downtown arena site contains unforeseen important Native American artifacts or unforeseen important historical, archaeological, or ecological values that would be materially, permanently, and adversely affected by the continued construction or operation of the downtown arena unless the court stays or enjoins the construction or operation of the downtown arena.

(B) If the court finds that clause (i) or (ii) is satisfied, the court shall only enjoin those specific activities associated with the downtown arena that present an imminent threat to public health and safety or that materially, permanently, and adversely affect unforeseen important Native American artifacts or unforeseen important historical, archaeological, or ecological values.

(2) An action or proceeding to attack, set aside, void, or annul a determination, finding, or decision of the lead agency granting a subsequent project approval shall be subject to the requirements of Chapter 6 (commencing with Section 21165).

(3) Where an action or proceeding brought pursuant to this section challenges aspects of the project other than the downtown arena and those portions or specific project activities are severable from the downtown arena, the court may enter an order as to aspects of the project other than the downtown arena that includes one or more of the remedies set forth in Section 21168.9.

(i) The provisions of this section are severable. If any provision of this section or its application is held invalid, that invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

(j) (1) This section does not apply to the project and shall become inoperative on the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report and is repealed on January 1 of the following year, if the applicant fails to notify the lead agency prior to the release of the draft environmental impact report for public comment that the applicant is electing to proceed pursuant to this section.

(2) The lead agency shall notify the Secretary of State if the applicant fails to notify the lead agency of its election to proceed pursuant to this section.

**SEC. 8.** Section 21181 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:

**21181.** This chapter does not apply to a project if the Governor does not certify a project as an environmental leadership development project eligible for streamlining provided pursuant to this chapter prior to January 1, 2016.

**SEC. 9.** Section 21183 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:

**21183.** The Governor may certify a leadership project for streamlining pursuant to this chapter if all the following conditions are met:

(a) The project will result in a minimum investment of one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000) in California upon completion of construction.

(b) The project creates high-wage, highly skilled jobs that pay prevailing wages and living wages and provide construction jobs and permanent jobs for Californians, and helps reduce unemployment. For purposes of this subdivision, "jobs that pay prevailing wages" means that all construction workers employed in the execution of the project will receive at least the general prevailing rate of per diem wages for the type of work and geographic area, as determined by the Director of Industrial Relations pursuant to Sections 1773 and 1773.9 of the Labor Code. If the project is certified for streamlining, the project applicant shall include this requirement in all contracts for the performance of the work.

(c) The project does not result in any net additional emission of greenhouse gases, including greenhouse gas emissions from employee transportation, as determined by the State Air Resources Board pursuant to Division 25.5 (commencing with Section 38500) of the Health and Safety Code.

(d) The project applicant has entered into a binding and enforceable agreement that all mitigation measures required pursuant to this division to certify the project under this chapter shall be conditions of approval of the project, and those conditions will be fully enforceable by the lead agency or another agency designated by the lead agency. In the case of environmental mitigation measures, the applicant agrees, as an ongoing obligation, that those measures will be monitored and enforced by the lead agency for the life of the obligation.

(e) The project applicant agrees to pay the costs of the Court of Appeal in hearing and deciding any case, including payment of the costs for the appointment of a special master if deemed appropriate by the court, in a form and manner specified by the Judicial Council, as provided in the Rules of Court adopted by the Judicial Council pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section 21185.

(f) The project applicant agrees to pay the costs of preparing the administrative record for the project concurrent with review and consideration of the project pursuant to this division, in a form and manner specified by the lead agency for the project.

**SEC. 10.** Section 21185 of the Public Resources Code is repealed.

**SEC. 11.** Section 21185 is added to the Public Resources Code, to read:

**21185.** On or before July 1, 2014, the Judicial Council shall adopt a rule of court to establish procedures applicable to actions or proceedings brought to attack, review, set aside, void, or annul the certification of the environmental impact report for an environmental leadership development project certified by the Governor pursuant to this chapter or the granting of any project approvals that require the actions or proceedings, including any potential appeals therefrom, be resolved, within 270 days of certification of the record of proceedings pursuant to Section 21186.

**SEC. 12.** Section 21186 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:

**21186.** Notwithstanding any other law, the preparation and certification of the administrative record for a leadership project certified by the Governor shall be performed in the following manner:

(a) The lead agency for the project shall prepare the administrative record pursuant to this division concurrently with the administrative process.

(b) All documents and other materials placed in the administrative record shall be posted on, and be downloadable from, an Internet Web site maintained by the lead agency commencing with the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report.

(c) The lead agency shall make available to the public in a readily accessible electronic format the draft environmental impact report and all other documents submitted to, or relied on by, the lead agency in the preparation of the draft environmental impact report.

(d) A document prepared by the lead agency or submitted by the applicant after the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report that is a part of the record of the proceedings shall be made available to the

public in a readily accessible electronic format within five business days after the document is released or received by the lead agency.

(e) The lead agency shall encourage written comments on the project to be submitted in a readily accessible electronic format, and shall make any comment available to the public in a readily accessible electronic format within five days of its receipt.

(f) Within seven business days after the receipt of any comment that is not in an electronic format, the lead agency shall convert that comment into a readily accessible electronic format and make it available to the public in that format.

(g) Notwithstanding paragraphs (b) to (f), inclusive, documents submitted to or relied on by the lead agency that were not prepared specifically for the project and are copyright protected are not required to be made readily accessible in an electronic format. For those copyright-protected documents, the lead agency shall make an index of these documents available in an electronic format no later than the date of the release of the draft environmental impact report, or within five business days if the document is received or relied on by the lead agency after the release of the draft environmental impact report. The index must specify the libraries or lead agency offices in which hardcopies of the copyrighted materials are available for public review.

(h) The lead agency shall certify the final administrative record within five days of its approval of the project.

(i) Any dispute arising from the administrative record shall be resolved by the superior court. Unless the superior court directs otherwise, a party disputing the content of the record shall file a motion to augment the record at the time it files its initial brief.

(j) The contents of the record of proceedings shall be as set forth in subdivision (e) of Section 21167.6.

**SEC. 13.** Section 21187 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:

**21187.** Within 10 days of the Governor certifying an environmental leadership development project pursuant to this section, the lead agency shall, at the applicant's expense, issue a public notice in no less than 12-point type stating the following:

"THE APPLICANT HAS ELECTED TO PROCEED UNDER CHAPTER 6.5 (COMMENCING WITH SECTION 21178) OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE, WHICH PROVIDES, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THAT ANY JUDICIAL ACTION CHALLENGING THE CERTIFICATION OF THE EIR OR THE APPROVAL OF THE PROJECT DESCRIBED IN THE EIR IS SUBJECT TO THE PROCEDURES SET FORTH IN SECTIONS 21185 TO 21186, INCLUSIVE, OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE. A COPY OF CHAPTER 6.5 (COMMENCING WITH SECTION 21178) OF THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE IS INCLUDED BELOW."

The public notice shall be distributed by the lead agency as required for public notices issued pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (b) of Section 21092.

**SEC. 14.** Section 21189.1 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:

**21189.1.** If, prior to January 1, 2016, a lead agency fails to approve a project certified by the Governor pursuant to this chapter, then the certification expires and is no longer valid.

**SEC. 15.** Section 21189.3 of the Public Resources Code is amended to read:

**21189.3.** This chapter shall remain in effect until January 1, 2017, and as of that date is repealed unless a later enacted statute extends or repeals that date.

**SEC. 16.** With respect to certain provisions of this measure, the Legislature finds and declares that a special law is necessary and that a general law cannot be made applicable within the meaning of Section 16 of Article IV of the California Constitution because of the unique need for the development of an entertainment and sports center project in the City of Sacramento in an expeditious manner.

**SEC. 17.** No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or

assessments sufficient to pay for the program or level of service mandated by this act, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code.